

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILI		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/436,219		1/09/1999	AKIRA NAGAE	104721	6312	
25944	7590	09/09/2002				
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC EXAMINER					INER	
P.O. BOX 19 ALEXANDI		928 IA, VA 22320 BURCH, MELODY M				
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3683		
				DATE MAILED: 09/09/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		T	<i> </i> }				
6	Application No.	Applicant(s)	\$				
Advisory Action	09/436,219	NAGAE ET AL.	1				
·	Examin r	Art Unit					
	Melody M. Burch	3683					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 23 August 2002 FAILS TO PLACE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	ivoid abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper repich places the application.	oly to a cation in				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
 a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). 	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the lan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S	See MPEP				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The da have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:						
(a) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) ★ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or s	simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.				
NOTE: see number 5.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejec	ction(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment				
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request fo application in condition for allowance because: Se		sidered but does NO	OT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	:						
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a)□ approved or b)□ disap	proved by the Exan	niner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·					
10. Other:							

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the inclusion of the limitation of the means for calculating a target deceleration of the vehicle being based upon the second parameter quantity raises a new issue in the claim that requires further consideration.

mmB 9/5/02

MATTHEW C. GRAHAM
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 310